# Lessons 24 + 25. The Simplex Method

# 1 Review

- Given an LP with *n* decision variables, a solution **x** is **basic** if:
  - (a) it satisfies all equality constraints
  - (b) at least n linearly independent constraints are active at **x**
- A basic feasible solution (BFS) is a basic solution that satisfies all constraints of the LP
- Canonical form LP:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} \\ \text{subject to} & A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

- *m* equality constraints and *n* decision variables (e.g. *A* has *m* rows and *n* columns).
- Standard assumptions:  $m \le n$ , rank(A) = m
- If **x** is a basic solution of a canonical form LP, there exist *m* basic variables of **x** such that
  - (a) the columns of *A* corresponding to these *m* variables are linearly independent
  - (b) the other n m nonbasic variables are equal to 0
- The set of basic variables is the **basis** of **x**
- 2 Overview
  - General improving search algorithm
    - 1 Find an initial feasible solution  $\mathbf{x}^0$
    - 2 Set t = 0
    - 3 while  $\mathbf{x}^t$  is not locally optimal **do**
    - 4 Determine a simultaneously improving and feasible direction  $\mathbf{d}$  at  $\mathbf{x}^t$
    - 5 Determine step size  $\lambda$
    - 6 Compute new feasible solution  $\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \mathbf{x}^t + \lambda \mathbf{d}$
    - 7 Set t = t + 1
    - 8 end while
  - The simplex method is a specialized version of improving search
    - For canonical form LPs
    - Starts at a BFS in Step 1
    - Considers directions that point towards other BFSes in Step 4
    - Takes the maximum possible step size in Step 5

Example 1. Throughout this lesson, we will use the canonical form LP below:

maximize 
$$13x + 5y$$
  
subject to  $4x + y + s_1 = 24$   
 $x + 3y + s_2 = 24$   
 $3x + 2y + s_3 = 23$   
 $x, y, s_1, s_2, s_3 \ge 0$ 

## 3 Initial solutions

• For now, we will start by guessing an initial BFS

**Example 2.** Verify that  $\mathbf{x}^0 = (0, 0, 24, 24, 23)$  is a BFS with basis  $\mathcal{B}^0 = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ .

#### 4 Finding feasible directions

- Two BFSes are adjacent if their bases differ by exactly 1 variable
- Suppose  $\mathbf{x}^t$  is the current BFS with basis  $\mathcal{B}^t$
- Approach: consider directions that point towards BFSes adjacent to  $\mathbf{x}^t$
- To get a BFS adjacent to **x**<sup>t</sup>:
  - Put one nonbasic variable into  $\mathcal{B}^t$
  - $\circ~$  Take one basic variable out of  $\mathcal{B}^t$
- Suppose we want to put nonbasic variable y into  $\mathcal{B}$
- This corresponds to the **simplex direction**  $d^{y}$  corresponding to nonbasic variable y
- **d**<sup>*y*</sup> has a component for every decision variable

• e.g.  $\mathbf{d}^{y} = (d_{x}^{y}, d_{y}^{y}, d_{s_{1}}^{y}, d_{s_{2}}^{y}, d_{s_{3}}^{y})$  for the LP in Example 1

- The components of the simplex direction  $\mathbf{d}^{y}$  corresponding to nonbasic variable y are:
  - $\circ d_y^y = 1$
  - $d_z^{\gamma} = 0$  for all other nonbasic variables z
  - $d_w^y$  (uniquely) determined by  $A\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{0}$  for all basic variables w

• Why does this work? Remember for LPs, d is a feasible direction at x if

$$\mathbf{a}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{d} \begin{cases} \leq \\ \geq \\ = \end{cases} 0 \quad \text{for each active constraint of the form} \quad \mathbf{a}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} \begin{cases} \leq \\ \geq \\ = \end{cases} b$$

• Each nonbasic variable has a corresponding simplex direction

**Example 3.** The basis of the BFS  $\mathbf{x}^0 = (0, 0, 24, 24, 23)$  is  $\mathcal{B}^0 = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ . For each nonbasic variable, *x* and *y*, we have a corresponding simplex direction. Compute the simplex directions  $\mathbf{d}^x$  and  $\mathbf{d}^y$ .

### 5 Finding improving directions

- Once we've computed the simplex direction for each nonbasic variable, which one do we choose?
- We choose a simplex direction **d** that is improving
- Recall that if  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is the objective function, **d** is an improving direction at **x** if

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{d} \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{when maximizing } f \\ < 0 & \text{when minimizing } f \end{cases}$$

- For LPs,  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}$ , and so  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c}$  for all  $\mathbf{x}$
- The **reduced cost** associated with nonbasic variable *y* is

$$\bar{z}_{y} = \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{d}^{y}$$

where  $\mathbf{d}^{y}$  is the simplex direction associated with y

• The simplex direction  $\mathbf{d}^{y}$  associated with nonbasic variable y is improving if

$$\bar{c}_{y} \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{for a maximization LP} \\ < 0 & \text{for a minimization LP} \end{cases}$$

**Example 4.** Consider the BFS  $\mathbf{x}^0 = (0, 0, 24, 24, 23)$  with basis  $\mathcal{B}^0 = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ . Compute the reduced costs  $\bar{c}_x$  and  $\bar{c}_y$  for nonbasic variables *x* and *y*, respectively. Are  $\mathbf{d}^x$  and  $\mathbf{d}^y$  improving?

- If there is an improving simplex direction, we choose it
- If there is more than 1 improving simplex direction, we can choose any one of them
- If there are no improving simplex directions, then the current BFS is a global optimal solution

# 6 Determining the maximum step size

- We've picked an improving simplex direction how far can we go in that direction?
- Suppose  $\mathbf{x}^t$  is our current BFS,  $\mathbf{d}$  is the improving simplex direction we chose
- Our next solution is  $\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \mathbf{x}^t + \lambda \mathbf{d}$  for some value of  $\lambda \ge 0$
- How big can we make  $\lambda$  while still remaining feasible?
- Recall that we computed **d** so that *A***d** = **0**
- $\mathbf{x}^{t+1}$  satisfies the equality constraints  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  no matter how large  $\lambda$  gets, since

$$A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = A(\mathbf{x}^t + \lambda \mathbf{d}) = A\mathbf{x}^t + \lambda A\mathbf{d} = A\mathbf{x}^t = \mathbf{b}$$

- So, the only thing that can go wrong are the nonnegativity constraints
  - $\Rightarrow$  What is the largest  $\lambda$  such that  $\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \mathbf{x}^t + \lambda \mathbf{d} \ge \mathbf{0}$ ?

**Example 5.** Suppose we choose the improving simplex direction  $\mathbf{d}^x = (1, 0, -4, -1, 3)$ . Compute the maximum step size  $\lambda$  for which  $\mathbf{x}^1 = \mathbf{x}^0 + \lambda \mathbf{d}^x$  remains feasible.

• Note that only negative components of **d** determine maximum step size:

(nonnegative number) + 
$$\lambda d \stackrel{:}{\geq} 0$$

• The **minimum ratio test**: starting at the BFS **x**, <u>if any component of the improving simplex direction **d** is negative, then the maximum step size is</u>

$$\lambda_{\max} = \min\left\{\frac{x_j}{-d_j} : d_j < 0\right\}$$

Example 6. Verify that the minimum ratio test yields the same maximum step size you found in Example 5.

- What if **d** has no negative components?
- For example:
  - Suppose  $\mathbf{x}^0 = (0, 0, 1, 2, 3)$  is a BFS
  - $\mathbf{d} = (1, 0, 2, 4, 3)$  is an improving simplex direction at  $\mathbf{x}$
  - $\circ~$  Then the next solution is

$$\mathbf{x}^{1} = \mathbf{x}^{0} + \lambda \mathbf{d} = (\lambda, 0, 1 + 2\lambda, 2 + 4\lambda, 3 + 3\lambda)$$

- $\circ \ {\boldsymbol{x}}^l \geq 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \geq 0!$
- We can improve our objective function and remain feasible forever!
- $\Rightarrow$  The LP is unbounded
- Test for unbounded LPs: if all components of an improving simplex direction are nonnegative, then the LP is unbounded

## 7 Updating the basis

- We have our improving simplex direction **d** and step size  $\lambda_{max}$
- We can compute our new solution  $\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \mathbf{x}^t + \lambda_{\max} \mathbf{d}$
- We also update the basis: update the set of basic variables

**Example 7.** Compute  $\mathbf{x}^1$ . What is the basis  $\mathcal{B}^1$  of  $\mathbf{x}^1$ ?

#### • Entering and leaving variables

- The nonbasic variable corresponding to the chosen simplex direction enters the basis and becomes basic: this is the **entering variable**
- Any <u>one</u> of the basic variables that define the maximum step size leaves the basis and becomes nonbasic: this is the **leaving variable**

#### 8 Putting it all together: the simplex method

**Step 0: Initialization.** Identify a BFS  $\mathbf{x}^0$ . Set solution index t = 0.

- **Step 1: Simplex directions.** For each nonbasic variable *y*, compute the corresponding simplex direction  $\mathbf{d}^{y}$  and its reduced cost  $\bar{c}_{y}$ .
- **Step 2: Check for optimality.** If no simplex direction is improving, stop. The current solution  $\mathbf{x}^t$  is optimal. Otherwise, choose any improving simplex direction **d**. Let  $x_e$  denote the entering variable.
- **Step 3: Step size.** If  $\mathbf{d} \ge \mathbf{0}$ , stop. The LP is unbounded. Otherwise, choose the leaving variable  $x_{\ell}$  by computing the maximum step size  $\lambda_{max}$  according to the minimum ratio test.
- **Step 4: Update solution and basis.** Compute the new solution  $\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \mathbf{x}^t + \lambda_{\max} \mathbf{d}$ . Replace  $x_\ell$  by  $x_e$  in the basis. Set t = t + 1. Go to Step 1.