

Lesson 30. Weak and Strong Duality

1 Practice taking duals!

Example 1. State the dual of the following linear programs.

a. minimize $5x_1 + x_2 - 4x_3$
 subject to $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 19$ y_1 0
 $4x_2 + 8x_4 \leq 55$ y_2 B
 $x_1 + 6x_2 - x_3 \geq 7$ y_3 S
 $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0, x_4 \leq 0$
 x_1 free, 0
 S S B

b. maximize $19y_1 + 4y_2 - 8z_2$
 subject to $11y_1 + y_2 + z_1 = 15$ x_1 0
 $z_1 + 5z_2 \leq 0$ x_2 S
 $y_1 - y_2 + z_2 \geq 4$ x_3 B
 $y_1 \geq 0, y_2 \geq 0, z_1$ free, z_2 free
 S S 0 0

a. max $19y_1 + 55y_2 + 7y_3$
 s.t. $y_1 + y_3 = 5$ x_1 0
 $y_1 + 4y_2 + 6y_3 \leq 1$ x_2 S
 $y_1 - y_3 \leq -4$ x_3 S
 $y_1 + 8y_2 \geq 0$ x_4 B
 y_1 free, $y_2 \leq 0, y_3 \geq 0$
 0 B S

b. min $15x_1 + 4x_3$
 s.t. $11x_1 + x_3 \leq 19$ y_1 S
 $x_1 - x_3 \leq 0$ y_2 S
 $x_1 + x_2 = 0$ z_1 0
 $5x_2 + x_3 = -8$ z_2 0
 x_1 free, $x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \leq 0$
 0 S B

2 Weak duality

- Consider the following primal-dual pair of LPs

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{[P]} & \text{maximize } \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\
 & \text{subject to } \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b} \\
 & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ll}
 \text{[D]} & \text{minimize } \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} \\
 & \text{subject to } \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{c} \\
 & \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}
 \end{array}$$

- Remember we constructed the dual in such a way that the multipliers \mathbf{y} give us an upper bound on the optimal value of [P]

Weak Duality Theorem. Let \mathbf{x}^* be a feasible solution to [P], and let \mathbf{y}^* be a feasible solution to [D]. Then

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^* \leq \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}^*$$

Proof. $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^* \leq (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y}^*)^T \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{y}^{*T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^* \leq \mathbf{y}^{*T} \mathbf{b}$.

$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \mathbf{x}^* \geq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \mathbf{y}^* \geq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$

\mathbf{y}^* feasible in [D]: $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y}^* \leq \mathbf{c}$
 \mathbf{x}^* feasible in [P]: $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^* \leq \mathbf{b}$

Corollary 1. If \mathbf{x}^* is a feasible solution to [P], \mathbf{y}^* is a feasible solution to [D], and

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}^*$$

then (i) \mathbf{x}^* is an optimal solution to [P] and (ii) \mathbf{y}^* is an optimal solution to [D].

Proof.

(i) $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}^* \geq \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ for all feasible solutions \mathbf{x} to [P]

\uparrow
Weak duality

(ii) $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}^* = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^* \leq \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$ for all feasible solutions \mathbf{y} to [D]

\uparrow
Weak duality

Corollary 2. If [P] is unbounded, then [D] must be infeasible.

Proof. By contradiction. Suppose [D] is feasible.
Let \vec{y}^* be a feasible solution to [D].
Weak duality $\Rightarrow \vec{c}^T \vec{x} \leq \vec{b}^T \vec{y}^*$ for all feasible solutions \vec{x} to [P]
 \Rightarrow [P] cannot be unbounded, which is a contradiction.

Corollary 3. If [D] is unbounded, then [P] must be infeasible.

Proof. Similar to the previous corollary.

- Note that primal infeasibility does not imply dual unboundedness
- It is possible that both primal and dual LPs are infeasible
 - See Rader p. 328 for an example
- All these theorems and corollaries apply to arbitrary primal-dual LP pairs, not just [P] and [D] above

3 Strong duality

Strong Duality Theorem. Let [P] denote a primal LP and [D] its dual.

- a. If [P] has a finite optimal solution, then [D] also has a finite optimal solution with the same objective function value.
 - b. If [P] and [D] both have feasible solutions, then
 - [P] has a finite optimal solution \vec{x}^* ;
 - [D] has a finite optimal solution \vec{y}^* ;
 - the optimal values of [P] and [D] are equal.
- This is an AMAZING fact
 - Useful from theoretical, algorithmic, and modeling perspectives
 - Even the simplex method implicitly uses duality: the reduced costs are essentially dual solutions that are infeasible until the last step