

## Lesson 31. An Economic Interpretation of LP Duality

### Today

- An economic interpretation of duality
- Complementary slackness

### Review: weak and strong duality

- **Weak duality theorem:** For any primal-dual pair of LPs,

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} \text{objective function value} \\ \text{of any feasible solution} \\ \text{to the maximizing LP} \end{array} \right) \leq \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{objective function value} \\ \text{of any feasible solution} \\ \text{to the minimizing LP} \end{array} \right)$$

- **Corollary.** If the primal and dual have feasible solutions with the same objective function value, then these solutions must be optimal for the primal and dual, respectively
- **Corollary.** For any primal-dual pair of LPs, if one of the LPs is unbounded, then the other must be infeasible
  - Note that the reverse doesn't always hold: if one of the LPs is infeasible, the other is not necessarily unbounded
- **Strong duality theorem:**
  1. If the primal LP has finite optimal value, then
    - the dual has finite optimal value, and
    - the primal and dual have the same optimal value
  2. If the primal and dual have feasible solutions, then
    - both LPs have finite optimal values, and
    - the primal and dual have the same optimal value

## Warm up

The Fulkerson Furniture Company produces desks, tables, and chairs. Each type of furniture requires a certain amount of lumber, finishing, and carpentry:

Resource	Desk	Table	Chair	Available
Lumber (sq ft)	8	6	2	48
Finishing (hrs)	3	2	1	20
Carpentry (hrs)	2	2	1	8
Profit (\$)	60	30	20	

Assume that all furniture produced is sold, and that fractional solutions are acceptable. Write a linear program to determine how much furniture Fulkerson should produce in order to maximize its profits.

- Decision variables:

- Fulkerson's LP:

## Economic interpretation of the dual LP

- Suppose an entrepreneur wants to purchase all of Fulkerson's resources (lumber, finishing, carpentry)
- What prices should she offer for the resources that will entice Fulkerson to sell?
- Define decision variables:

$y_1$  = price of 1 sq. ft. lumber

$y_2$  = price of 1 hour of finishing

$y_3$  = price of 1 hour of carpentry

- To buy all of Fulkerson's resources, entrepreneur pays:

- Entrepreneur wants to minimize costs
- Entrepreneur needs to offer resource prices that will entice Fulkerson to sell

- One desk uses
  - 8 sq. ft. of lumber
  - 3 hours of finishing
  - 2 hours of carpentry
  - One desk has profit of \$60

⇒ Entrepreneur should pay at least \$60 for this combination of resources:

- One table uses
  - 6 sq. ft. of lumber
  - 2 hours of finishing
  - 2 hours of carpentry
  - One table has profit of \$30

⇒ Entrepreneur should pay at least \$30 for this combination of resources:

- One chair uses
  - 2 sq. ft. of lumber
  - 1 hours of finishing
  - 1 hours of carpentry
  - One chair has profit of \$20

⇒ Entrepreneur should pay at least \$20 for this combination of resources:

- Increasing the availability of the resources potentially increases the maximum profits Fulkerson can achieve

⇒ Entrepreneur should pay nonnegative amounts for each resource:

- Putting this all together, we get:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \min & 48y_1 + 20y_2 + 8y_3 \\
 \text{s.t.} & 8y_1 + 3y_2 + 2y_3 \geq 60 & (x_1: \text{desks}) \\
 & 6y_1 + 2y_2 + 2y_3 \geq 30 & (x_2: \text{tables}) \\
 & 2y_1 + y_2 + y_3 \geq 20 & (x_3: \text{chairs}) \\
 & y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0
 \end{array}$$

- This is the dual of Fulkerson's LP!
- In summary:
  - Optimal dual solution  $\Leftrightarrow$  "fair" prices for associated resources
  - Known as **marginal prices** or **shadow prices**
- Strong duality
  - $\Rightarrow$  Company's maximum revenue from selling furniture = Entrepreneur's minimum cost of purchasing resources
  - Equilibrium under perfect competition: company makes no excess profits
- This kind of economic interpretation is trickier for LPs with different types of constraints and variable bounds

### Complementary slackness

- Optimal solution to Fulkerson's LP:  $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 0$
- Resources used:
  - lumber:  $32 < 48$       finishing:  $12 < 20$       carpentry:  $8 = 8$
- How much would you pay for an extra sq. ft. of lumber?
- How much would you pay for an extra hour of finishing?
- Resource not fully utilized in optimal solution
  - $\Rightarrow$  marginal price = 0
- **Primal complementary slackness:** either
  - a primal constraint is active at a primal optimal solution, or
  - the corresponding dual variable at optimality = 0
- Same logic applies to the dual

- Dual constraints  $\Leftrightarrow$  Primal decision variables
- **Dual complementary slackness:** either
  - a primal decision variable at optimality = 0, or
  - the corresponding dual constraint is active in a dual optimal solution

**If we have time...**

Consider the following LP:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{minimize} & 3x_1 - x_2 + 8x_3 \\
 \text{subject to} & -x_1 + 8x_3 \leq 6 \\
 & 5x_1 - 3x_2 + 9x_3 \geq -2 \\
 & x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \leq 0, x_3 \geq 0
 \end{array}$$

1. Write the dual.
2. Find a feasible solution to the primal and the dual.
3. Give a lower and an upper bound on the optimal value of the above LP.