

Lesson 22. An Economic Interpretation of LP Duality

1 Overview

- An economic interpretation of duality
- Complementary slackness

2 Warm up

Example 1. The Fulkerson Furniture Company produces desks, tables, and chairs. Each type of furniture requires a certain amount of lumber, finishing, and carpentry:

Resource	Desk	Table	Chair	Available
Lumber (sq ft)	8	6	2	48
Finishing (hrs)	3	2	1	20
Carpentry (hrs)	2	2	1	8
Profit (\$)	60	30	20	

Assume that all furniture produced is sold, and that fractional solutions are acceptable. Write a linear program to determine how much furniture Fulkerson should produce in order to maximize its profits.

DVs: $x_1 = \# \text{ desks to produce}$
 $x_2 = \# \text{ tables to produce}$
 $x_3 = \# \text{ chairs to produce}$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \max & 60x_1 + 30x_2 + 20x_3 & \text{(total profit)} \\
 \text{s.t.} & 8x_1 + 6x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 48 & \text{(lumber)} \\
 & 3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \leq 20 & \text{(finishing)} \\
 & 2x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \leq 8 & \text{(carpentry)} \\
 & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0 &
 \end{array}$$

3 Economic interpretation of the dual LP

- Suppose an entrepreneur wants to purchase all of Fulkerson's resources (lumber, finishing, carpentry)
- What prices should she offer for the resources that will entice Fulkerson to sell?

- Define decision variables:

y_1 = price of 1 sq. ft. lumber

y_2 = price of 1 hour of finishing

y_3 = price of 1 hour of carpentry

Resource	Desk	Table	Chair	Available
Lumber (sq ft)	8	6	2	48
Finishing (hrs)	3	2	1	20
Carpentry (hrs)	2	2	1	8
Profit (\$)	60	30	20	

- To buy all of Fulkerson's resources, entrepreneur pays:

$$48y_1 + 20y_2 + 8y_3$$

- Entrepreneur wants to minimize this cost
- Entrepreneur also needs to offer resource prices that will entice Fulkerson to sell
- One desk uses

- 8 sq. ft. of lumber
- 3 hours of finishing
- 2 hours of carpentry

- One desk has profit of \$60

⇒ Entrepreneur should pay at least \$60 for this combination of resources:

$$8y_1 + 3y_2 + 2y_3 \geq 60$$

- One table uses

- 6 sq. ft. of lumber
- 2 hours of finishing
- 2 hours of carpentry

- One table has profit of \$30

⇒ Entrepreneur should pay at least \$30 for this combination of resources:

$$6y_1 + 2y_2 + 2y_3 \geq 30$$

- One chair uses

- 2 sq. ft. of lumber
- 1 hours of finishing
- 1 hours of carpentry

- One chair has profit of \$20

⇒ Entrepreneur should pay at least \$20 for this combination of resources:

$$2y_1 + y_2 + y_3 \geq 20$$

- Increasing the availability of the resources potentially increases the maximum profits Fulkerson can achieve

⇒ Entrepreneur should pay nonnegative amounts for each resource:

$$y_1 \geq 0, \quad y_2 \geq 0, \quad y_3 \geq 0$$

- Putting this all together, we get:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & 48y_1 + 20y_2 + 8y_3 \\ \text{s.t.} & 8y_1 + 3y_2 + 2y_3 \geq 60 & (x_1: \text{ desks}) \\ & 6y_1 + 2y_2 + 2y_3 \geq 30 & (x_2: \text{ tables}) \\ & 2y_1 + y_2 + y_3 \geq 20 & (x_3: \text{ chairs}) \\ & y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- This is the dual of Fulkerson's LP!
- In summary:
 - Optimal dual solution ⇔ "fair" prices for associated resources
 - Known as **marginal prices** or **shadow prices**

- Strong duality ⇒

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Company's maximum revenue} \\ \text{from selling furniture} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Entrepreneur's minimum cost} \\ \text{of purchasing resources} \end{array} \right)$$

- Equilibrium under perfect competition: company makes no excess profits
- This kind of economic interpretation is trickier for LPs with different types of constraints and variable bounds

4 Complementary slackness

- Optimal solution to Fulkerson's LP: $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 0$

- Resources used:

$$\text{lumber: } 32 < 48 \quad \text{finishing: } 12 < 20 \quad \text{carpentry: } 8 = 8$$

- How much would you pay for an extra sq. ft. of lumber?

$$y_1 = 0$$

- How much would you pay for an extra hour of finishing?

$$y_2 = 0$$

- Resource not fully utilized in optimal solution

⇒ marginal price = 0

- Primal complementary slackness:** either
 - a primal constraint is active at a primal optimal solution, or
 - the corresponding dual variable at optimality = 0

- Same logic applies to the dual
- Dual constraints \leftrightarrow Primal decision variables
- **Dual complementary slackness:** either
 - a primal decision variable at optimality = 0, or
 - the corresponding dual constraint is active in a dual optimal solution

5 More duality practice

Example 2. Consider the following LP:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{minimize} && 3x_1 - x_2 + 8x_3 \\
 &\text{subject to} && -x_1 + 8x_3 \leq 6 && \text{B } y_1 \\
 &&& 5x_1 - 3x_2 + 9x_3 \geq -2 && \text{S } y_2 \\
 &&& x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \leq 0, x_3 \geq 0 \\
 &&& \text{S} && \text{B} && \text{S}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Write the dual.
- Find a feasible solution to the primal and the dual.
- Give a lower and an upper bound on the optimal value of the above LP.

	max LP	\leftrightarrow	min LP	
sensible	\leq constraint	\leftrightarrow	$y_i \geq 0$	sensible
odd	$=$ constraint	\leftrightarrow	y_i free	odd
bizarre	\geq constraint	\leftrightarrow	$y_i \leq 0$	bizarre
sensible	$x_i \geq 0$	\leftrightarrow	\geq constraint	sensible
odd	x_i free	\leftrightarrow	$=$ constraint	odd
bizarre	$x_i \leq 0$	\leftrightarrow	\leq constraint	bizarre

a.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max & 6y_1 - 2y_2 \\
 \text{s.t.} & -y_1 + 5y_2 \leq 3 && \text{S } x_1 \\
 & -3y_2 \geq -1 && \text{B } x_2 \\
 & 8y_1 + 9y_2 \leq 8 && \text{S } x_3 \\
 & y_1 \leq 0, y_2 \geq 0 \\
 & \text{B} && \text{S}
 \end{aligned}$$

b. primal: $(0, 0, 0)$
value = 0

dual: $(0, 0)$
value = 0

c.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{dual (max)} \downarrow \\
 &0 \leq \text{opt. value} \leq 0 \\
 &\Rightarrow \text{opt. value} = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

primal (min) \swarrow