## Lesson 8. Chi-Square Test for Uniformity

## 1 Last time...

- A **pseudo-random number generator (PRNG)** is a (deterministic) algorithm that uses mathematical formulas or precalculated tables to produce sequences of numbers that <u>appear</u> to be independently sampled from a Uniform[0,1] distribution
- A good PRNG must pass statistical tests for uniformity and independence
  - These numbers should not be statistically differentiable from a sequence of truly independently sampled values from the Uniform[0,1] distribution
- Today: how can we test for uniformity?

## 2 Motivation: testing Excel's RAND function

- We don't know what PRNG Excel's RAND function uses
- How uniform are the pseudo-random numbers generated by Excel's RAND function?
- In the spreadsheet for today's lesson, we have 150 pseudo-random numbers generated by RAND
- Idea: if the interval [0, 1] is divided into *m* subintervals of equal length, and *n* values are sampled, then the expected number of values in each interval is *n*/*m* 
  - ⇒ If we divide [0,1] into 10 subintervals [0,0.1], [0.1,0.2], etc. we should expect to see about 15 numbers in each subinterval
- Set up "bins" for each interval
- Use the FREQUENCY function to figure out how many numbers fall into each bin
  - FREQUENCY(data\_array, bins\_array)
    - ♦ data\_array = array/reference to a set of values for which you want to count frequencies
    - ◊ bins\_array = array/reference to intervals into which you want to group the values in data\_array
  - Highlight the "# observations" column, enter the formula, then press **CTRL-SHIFT-ENTER** 
    - ♦ FREQUENCY is an array function
- Can plot a histogram by highlighting the "# observations" column, and then selecting Insert → Column → Clustered Column
- Are there roughly 15 numbers in each bin?
- Is this "close enough" to what we expect?
- Let's be more rigorous about this...

## 3 Chi-squared test for uniformity

- Let  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  be *n* independent random variables in [0, 1]
- Let  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  be observations of  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$

• Divide [0,1] into *m* subintervals of equal length:  $\left[0,\frac{1}{m}\right], \left[\frac{1}{m},\frac{2}{m}\right], \dots, \left[\frac{m-1}{m},1\right]$ 

- The hypothesis we are testing: if Y represents any of the  $Y_j$ 's,
  - We call this the **null hypothesis**  $H_0$  (for this test)
- Let  $O_i$  be the number of  $Y_j$ 's in  $\left[\frac{i-1}{m}, \frac{i}{m}\right]$  for i = 1, ..., m
  - $O_i$  is a random variable: uncertain quantity before  $Y_i$ 's are observed
  - Let  $e_i = \mathbb{E}[O_i]$  = expected number of observations in  $\left[\frac{i-1}{m}, \frac{i}{m}\right]$  =
- Let  $o_1, \ldots, o_m$  be the observations of  $O_1, \ldots, O_m$
- The **test quantity** *T* is
  - *T* is a random variable that has approximately a chi-squared distribution with m 1 degrees of freedom when  $H_0$  is true
  - Rule of thumb:  $e_i \ge 5$  for i = 1, ..., m
- The **observed test quantity** *t* is
- Small values of  $t \Rightarrow$  evidence in favor of  $H_0$
- Large values of  $t \Rightarrow$  evidence against  $H_0$
- How large does *t* have to be to reject *H*<sub>0</sub>?
- The *p*-value is
  - Interpretation: probability that such a large value of T would have been observed if  $H_0$  is true
  - ∘ Small *p*-values (<  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is typically 0.05 or even 0.01) ⇒ reject  $H_0$
- Computing this in Excel:
  - CHIDIST(t, m-1) =  $\mathbb{P}(\chi^2_{m-1} \ge t)$