

Project 0 – Feedback

0 How I graded your assignments

- Similar process to Assignments 1, 3, and 4.
- I did not read the Input Data Analysis section of your report. (This should have been copied and pasted from your Assignment 4.)
- See last page for rubric.
- Remember that my comments (in particular, my marks directly on your report) are not comprehensive. Consider carefully how they may apply to other parts of your writing.

1 Comments to the class

- In general, your reports were well-written, had a professional tone, cleanly presented the results of your simulations, and made reasonable conclusions. Good job.
- Make an effort to be precise, especially when describing technical quantities.
- For example, how can we improve these sentences from an output data analysis section?

The table above shows the average barista delay and their confidence intervals for different numbers of baristas. As the table shows, when we increase the number of baristas from two to three, the wait time decreases by 90%.

	Exemplary (10)	Satisfactory (8)	Unsatisfactory (4)	Weight
Organization / Focus	Paper has a clear focus and is clearly organized so that the reader knows where they are in the narrative / analysis	Organization is there, but sometimes focus is unclear	Incoherent	1
Style / Tone	Appropriate for an academic journal or professional memo	Appropriate for a student paper	Too informal, too many superlatives, too wordy, imprecise	1
Grammar / Punctuation / Spelling / Formatting	No detected errors	A few minor errors	Distracting	1
Appropriate use of technical language	All technical language is used correctly	Technical language is used correctly, for the most part	Technical language is consistently incorrect or imprecise	1
Presentation of results	Results are clearly presented with any tables explained and referenced	Results are presented and described correctly, for the most part	Results are poorly presented or not presented at all	2
Interpretation of results / Conclusions	All conclusions are correct and supported by the results presented; caveats are discussed as appropriate	Key conclusions are correct and supported by the results presented	At least one key conclusion is incorrect or missing	2
R Markdown code	Runs from top to bottom without intervention	A minor error or two that prevents the code from running correctly	Otherwise	2
First draft	Brought reasonable first draft to class			1
Total				110 points